

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		A.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
06/807+03	4 12/09/85	BODOR	415	И	023800-002
- NORMAN H.	COTTENENT		-	EXAMINER	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS				LIPOVSKY,J	
GEORGE MA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	N & PRINCE A, VA 22313	STS,, P. O. BOX 3-1404	1404	125	17
_				DATE MAILED:	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

05/31/88

ADVISORY ACTION
☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
is extended to run from the date of the Final Rejection
continues to run from the date of the Final Rejection
expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date that the shortened statutory period for response expires as set forth above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 5/24/55, has been considered with the following affect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this
application would be as follows:
Allowed claims: 118
Claims objected to: 46-51
Claims objected to Claims rejected: 1-45,56-63 AND 65-117 However:
 a. The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. b. The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection.
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.
Other & THE REJECTION OF CLASHS 1-45,56-63 AND 65-117 IS MAINTAINED FOR REASONS
OF RECORD, THE EXAMINER DOES NOT CONCUR WITH APPELLANT'S NARROW INTERPRETATION
OF THE PATENT OF SAKETT ET AL. ALLEGATIONS THAT THE FILE HISTORY OF THE SAMETT ET A
PATENT IS LIMITED TO A NAPLROW GENUS OF STEIRDIDS IS UNTIRUE. NOTE THAT CLAIM 1
AS DIZIGINALLY FILED IN APPLICATION 842 788 AS WELL AS THAT OF PAILENT APPLICATION
620,656 WAS DIRECTED TO STEROODS IN GENERAL THE OPENING SIGNTEMENT IN
THE PATENTOF SAZETISS ET AL. WAS NOT PICOTDED FOR GUIDANCE BUT RATHER FOR TEACHING, DURING THE MENTIONED THE INTERNATION OF ACREEMENT RECARDING ALLCHARTITY PTOL-303 (REST 3-86) EXAMINER TO SECOND THE PROPERTY OF THE PR